

Disclaimer: The following is a non-binding translation of the original Hebrew document. It is provided by **HaMoked: Center for the Defence of the Individual** for information purposes only. The original Hebrew prevails in any case of discrepancy. While every effort has been made to ensure its accuracy, **HaMoked** is not liable for the proper and complete translation nor does it accept any liability for the use of, reliance on, or for any errors or misunderstandings that may derive from the English translation. **For queries about the translation please contact site@hamoked.org.il**

At the Supreme Court
Sitting as the High Court of Justice

H CJ 4699/14

_____ **Khaleq et al.**

all represented by counsel, Adv. Benjamin Agsteribbe et al.,
of HaMoked Center for the Defence of the Individual, founded
by Dr. Lotte Salzberger
4 Abu Obeida St., Jerusalem, 97200
Tel: 02-6283555; Fax: 02-6276317

The Petitioners

v.

1. **Israeli Knesset**
Represented by the Knesset legal department
Kiryat Ben Gurion, Jerusalem 91950
Tel.: 02-6408636; Fax: 02-6753495
2. **The Prime Minister**
3. **Minister of the Interior**
4. **Attorney General**
Represented by the State Attorney's Office
Ministry of Justice, Jerusalem
Tel.: 02-6466246; Fax: 02-6467011

The Respondents

Preliminary Response on behalf of Respondent 1

According to the decision of the honorable court dated July 8, 2014, and the extensions, respondent 1 (hereinafter: the **respondent**) hereby respectfully submits its preliminary response to the petition, as follows:

1. This petition concerns petitioners' request that the honorable court orders to upgrade the status of petitioner 2, and grant her, at least, a renewable A/5 visa. In addition, the petitioners request that this honorable court orders the respondents establish an exception to section 2 of the Citizenship and Entry into Israel Law (Temporary Order), 5763-2003 (hereinafter: the **Law**), according to which residents of the Area who live in Israel for a protracted period of time under residency permits within the framework of family unification proceedings, will be at least granted with an A/5 visa.
2. Respondent's position is that the petition against it should be denied summarily and on its merits. With respect to the first relief, it does not concern the respondent at all since it involves the **implementation of the Law** by the executive authority. With respect to the second relief, at this stage, the respondent does not see any need to add to respondents 2-4 response, and it is also of the opinion that petitioners' arguments in this regard should be denied.

Today, November 4, 2014
11 Heshvan 5775

(signature)

Dr. Gur Blei, Advocate
The Knesset representative