

Translation Disclaimer: The English language text below is not an official translation and is provided for information purposes only. The original text of this document is in the Hebrew language. In the event of any discrepancies between the English translation and the Hebrew original, the Hebrew original shall prevail. Whilst every effort has been made to provide an accurate translation we are not liable for the proper and complete translation of the Hebrew original and we do not accept any liability for the use of, or reliance on, the English translation or for any errors or misunderstandings that may derive from the translation.

At the Supreme Court
Sitting as the High Court of Justice

H CJ 8696/02

- 1. M. Shahin**
- 2. HaMoked: Center for the Defence of the Individual founded by Dr. Lotte Salzberger – Reg. Assoc.**

both represented by attorneys Tarek Ibrahim and/or
Yossi Wolfson and/or Hisham Shabaita and/or Adi
Landau and/or Tamir Blank
4 Abu Obeidah Street, Jerusalem
Tel. 02-6283555; Fax. 02-6276317

The Petitioners

v.

Commander of the IDF forces in the West Bank
by the State Attorney's Office
Ministry of Justice, Jerusalem

The Respondent

Supplemental Response on behalf of the Respondent

In accordance with the decision of the Honorable Justice T. Strasberg-Cohen of 16 October 2002, the Respondent respectfully submits its supplemental response, as follows:

1. On 15 October 2002, the Petitioner was staying at the Kishon detention facility. That same day, the military court in Kishon heard an application to extend the Petitioner's detention. It should be mentioned that, from that time forth, the Petitioner was not prevented from meeting with an attorney. Furthermore, at the hearing, the Petitioner was represented by Attorney Abd Latif. His detention was extended for 15 days.

The decision of the military court is attached hereto.
2. Currently (16 October 2002), the Petitioner is being held in detention at the Rosh Pinna Police Department.
3. In light of the above, the petition is moot and should be denied.
4. In these circumstances, the Respondent believes that a hearing is unnecessary, and the Honorable Court is requested to cancel it.

Today, 17 October 2002

[signed]

Udit Corinaldi Sirkis
Senior Deputy A to the State Attorney